Docket No. AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER **INNOFF 3.0-006 DIV** Application No. Filing Date Examiner Art Unit 09/916,934 July 27, 2001 J. C. Hong 3726

Applicant(s): Odd N. Oddsen Jr.

Invention: METHOD OF MAKING A CONFIGURABLE MOUNT

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.

		CLAIM	S AS AMENI	DED		
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	F	Rate	
Total Claims	41	- 32 =	9	×	9.00	81.00
ndependent Claims	16	- 11 =	5	x	43.00	215.00
Multiple Depend	dent Claims (che	ck if applicable	e)			
Other fee (pleas	e specify):					
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:						296.00
Large Entity				x s	mall Entity	
No additiona	al fee is require	d for this amer	dment.			
=	ge Deposit Acc			the am	ount of \$	296.00 .
	copy of this she		''		··· •· • _	
A check in the	he amount of \$		to cover	the filing	fee is enc	losed.
Payment by	credit card. Fo	orm PTO-2038	is attached.			
	r is hereby auth d below. A dup					o. <u>12-1095</u>
x Credit a	ny overpaymen	t.				
X Charge a	any additional filir	ng or application	processing fe	es require	ed under 37	CFR 1.16 and 1.17.
ٹ ک						
24				D	ated:	April 22, 2004
Stephen B. Gol Attorney Reg. N		•				
	ID, LITTENBER	RG KRUMHO	LZ & MENTLI	K. LLP		
600 South Avei	nue West	,				
Westfield, New (908) 518-6333	•				REA	~
(223, 2.2 3000	•				MAY	EIVED 2004
11 1 500				TECH!	~ / O 3	200
11_1.DOC				MA	OLOGYOS	· 004
					· CEN	2004 TER R3700
						~ 100

489611_1.DOC

04/27/2004 RADOFO1/



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/406,531 530	09/27/1999 7590 06/06/2003	ODD N. ODDSEN JR.	1718		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBER KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		APR 2 6 2004	EXAMINER WOOD, KIMBERLY T		
WESTFIELD), NJ 07090 Y		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	TRADENARH GE	3632		
	1		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CHARLOS CHICAGO

LDLK&WI

1 PE							
	,						
APR 2 6 2004 \$ 09/406,531	ODDSEN, ODD N.						
Office Action Summary Ark L Examiner	Art Unit						
RADEMARK Kimberly T. Wood	3632						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the c 'eriod for R ply	orrespondenc address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEI - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 March 2003</u> .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pr closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4)isp sition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 36-40,42-72 and 74-87 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-40,44-49 and 55-62 is/are withdrawn from consider	ration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· .						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36, 42, 43, 50-54, 63-72, 74-87</u> is/are rejected.	型 工						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER AS						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	8 3 1						
Application Papers	E B T						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	1						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application	on No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been rec 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	eived.						
.ttachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 3632

Office Action

This is the sixth office action for serial number 09/406,531, entitled Configurable Mount, in response to Amendment F filed on March 4, 2003.

Election/Restriction

Claims 44-49 and 55-62 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected speceis, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9. Claims 37-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species III (figure 8), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36-40, 42, 43, 74, 81, 63-66, 76, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 36 recites the limitation "a plurality of attachments" in line 5. There is improper antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim ("a" should be deleted and --the-- or --said--should be inserted because "plurality of attachments was first recited in line 2)

Claim 36 recites the limitation "a device" and "a surface" in line 6. There is improper antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 40 recites the limitation "at least one buffering pad" in line 2. There is improper antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 63 recites the limitation "a plurality of attachments" in line 5. There is improper antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 63 recites the limitation "a device" and "a surface" in line 6. There is improper antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for the above reasons. Please note that the Examiner may not have pointed out each and every example of indefiniteness. The applicant is required to review all the claim language to make sure the claimed invention is clear and definite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the inventi n was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

Art Unit: 3632

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 36, 63, 64, 72, 76, 78, 79, 80, 83, and 85 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koskinen 3,568,963. Koskinen discloses a kit (figures 1-9) comprising a shaft holder (24 and 24') having a bottom wall (28') with first hole (where 30 is received), a threaded rod (32), nut (44), a first member with first flange (figure 6, element 70 (top horizontal portion)) with second hole (where 32 is received in 70) and second flange (70 vertical portion), a clamping mechanism and at least one screw or adjustment device (74 and bottom perpendicular element of 70). The embodiments as disclosed in figures 1-9 constitute a kit since the elements of the inventions (34, 70, and different shaft holders 24, 24' and 60) can be configured in different ways to make the invention attach to various surfaces as disclosed by the patent.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42, 65, 74, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koskinen in view of Rossman 5,277,392. Koskinen discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the at least one screw and at least a third hole formed in the second flange. Rossman teaches that it is known to have a third hole (40) formed in a second flange (38). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Koskinen to have included the hole in the second flange as taught by Rossman for allowing the device to be attached to a vertical surface.

Claims 86 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Koskinen 3,568,963 in view of Higgins 1,785,518. Koskinen discloses all of the limitations of the

claimed invention except for the second member having a third and fourth flanges. Higgins

discloses a shaft holder (22) having a hole (where 31 is received); a plurality of threaded rods or

screws (31, 47, and 41; see page 2, lines 113); an attachment means including a first member (33)

having a flange (33) with a hole (35) and perpendicular flange (44) with a hole (42), a second

Art Unit: 3632

member (36) having a flange (36) with a hole (where screw 47 is received) and another flange (39) with a hole (40) to receive a threaded rod (41), an adjustment means (47). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Koskinen to have substituted the bottom part of member (70) and to have replaced it with the second member having a third and fourth flange as taught by Higgins since both are mechanical clamping members and Higgins would provide a better means of securing the device. In regards to claim 87 applicant is to refer to figure 2 (Koskinen).

Claims 43, 50-54, 66-71, 75, 77, 82, and 84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koskinen 3,568,963 in view of Higgins in further view of Murdock 2,950,836. Koskinen discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the second member having a third and fourth flange with holes. Higgins discloses a shaft holder (22) having a hole (where 31 is received); a plurality of threaded rods or screws (31, 47, and 41; see page 2, lines 113); an attachment means including a first member (33) having a flange (33) with a hole (35) and perpendicular flange (44) with a hole (42), a second member (36) having a flange (36) with a hole (where screw 47 is received) and another flange (39) with a hole (40) to receive a threaded rod (41), an adjustment means (47). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Koskinen to have included a hole in the second flange (Koskinen) and to have made the clamp (70 Koskinen) to have the fourth and fifth flanges with holes as taught by Higgins since both are mechanical clamping members and Higgins would provide a better means of securing the device. Murdock discloses a clamp (4) having a

Art Unit: 3632

clamping plate (42) with hole and buffering pads (43). It would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Koskinen in view of Higgins to have included the clamping plate to the end of the adjustment means as taught by Murdock for the purpose of providing a more secure means of attachment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the comments regarding the election of species the examiner believes that the claims are not generic because claims 36, 50, 63, 67, and 72 include the limitations of first and second flanges which are not a part of the Species III shown in figure 8.

In regards to the applicant's argument that Koskinen does not disclose a kit, the examiner would like to point out that the embodiments as disclosed in figures 1-9 constitute a kit since the elements of the inventions (34, 70, and different shaft holders 24, 24' and 60) can be configured in different ways allowing the invention to attach to various surfaces as disclosed by the patent. The invention would need to be packaged as it is well known in the art for distribution and/or sale. The kit is constituted by the packaging of the various components as disclosed in the patent in figures 1-9 and then would be left up to the individual to determine how the shaft holder would be attached to the surface either by clamp (figure 6) or mount system (figure 2).

Art Unit: 3632

In regards to the applicant's arguments that Koskinen does not disclosure a shaft holder attached to a first member having a first flange, the examiner would like to point out that the shaft holder (24 or 24') is attached directly to the first member (70) via the threaded rod (32). The applicant's shaft holder (20) is also attached to the first member (32) via a member (56).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax number for an Official Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9326. The fax number for an Official After Final Amendment or Response is (703) 872-9327.

KIMBERLY WOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kimberly Wood Primary Examiner June 2, 2003